

MANOR BOROUGH COUNCIL
MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING - February 16, 2022

1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Council President Mr. Tony Gockel called the Council Meeting to Order at 7:00 P.M. on February 16, 2022, in the Manor Community Room and led the
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**
3. **ROLL CALL** of Attendance

(Present)	Tony Gockel, President	Dawn Lynn, Vice President
	Chuck Charrie	Dave Gongaware
	Christine Marchand	Terry Nemitz
	Jim Vacha	Brian Woy, Mayor
	Ed Antonacci, Engineer	John Greiner, Solicitor
	George Valmassoni, Chief of Police	Mike Radakovich, Tax Collector
	Jason Krainbucher, Zoning Office	

4. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES** for meeting on January 19, 2022 – A motion “to accept the minutes of the meeting held on January 19, 2022, as read” was made by Ms. Lynn, and seconded by Mr. Vacha. The motion passed unanimously.
5. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES** for Public Hearing on January 19, 2022 – After a few clarifications and corrections to the minutes, a motion “to accept the minutes of the Public Hearing held on January 19, 2022, as read” was made by Ms. Lynn, and seconded by Mr. Nemitz. The motion passed unanimously.
6. **PUBLIC COMMENT** – AGENDA ITEMS ONLY

Jamie Hunter of 325 Brandywine Drive, Manor, stated the neighbors are talking about FamilyLinks and have questions about the Zoning amendment. She cites the clarity and the wording of the document and asked it if could be explained in detail. Her understanding is the children housed at the facility are abused. The wording in the amendment describes the children as dependent and delinquent and may be recovering from substance abuse but the facility is not intended to be a substance rehabilitation center, and there is no mention of sex abuse. She also noted this is for children, but the facility can house people up to age 21, which she feels is an adult, and is concerned with males and females housed together. She is also concerned that job listings note a minimum of a GED or high school diploma and feels these people are not counselors or security officers, and may not be efficiently monitoring the patients potentially allowing them to run away. Ms. Hunter also wanted to know the safety plan for the facility.

Mr. Charrie spoke up and agreed with Ms. Hunter. He said, “he gets it and he doesn’t like it.” He then summarized the debate starting in December and continuing through January. The assumption was the Borough would find a way to satisfy the property seller, the buyer, and the public. Mr. Charrie went on to note the number of rewrites to the ordinance and there was no discussion on keeping the property as a personal care home. He feels issues should be discussed and then given to the Solicitor. He is concerned about the special exception for a personal care home, and how a 2-page ordinance can be rewritten so many times. He feels the issues that came up after the Council meeting in January should not have been included in the rewrite of the ordinance and asserts the applicants are bombarding the Solicitor with changes. Mr. Charrie summarized a personal care home is a permanent residence for

seniors. What FamilyLinks proposes is for children who will be there on a transient basis. The resident population has changed from 12 children to 30 children. Ms. Lynn reminded Mr. Charrie Council approved the Solicitor to draw up wording as a permitted use for a personal care home at the January council meeting. Mr. Charrie argued it should have been for a Special Exception. President Gockel ended the argument so the meeting could proceed.

Andrea Lawson of 382 Rowe Road, Manor, lives one half mile away from the facility and her teenage children are terrified. They want to know what will be done to keep them safe as the FamilyLinks representative will not divulge security details. Ms. Lawson cites the woods and ponds in the area and many houses where the children can hide. She noted if the children are here for rehabilitation, they are not here of their choice. She is not opposed, but living in a rural setting, it's a scary scenario as a parent. As the facts keep changing about the FamilyLinks facility it is concerning to Ms. Lawson.

Ashley Heslop of 210 Woodcrest Court, Manor, lives a couple of blocks from the facility and is struggling to understand why Council is working so hard to make this work. She is sympathetic but there is no benefit to Manor as she feels FamilyLinks has no vested interest in the community, there is no tax benefit to Manor, the children are from Allegheny County or other counties, and it is a potential danger to the community. She further cites security issues and protection of residents, and feels this is setting the Borough up for risk, as well as deteriorating home values.

Steve Ira of 1018 Sunset Blvd, Manor, stated he agrees with the previous speakers and Mr. Charrie. Mr. Ira stayed until the end of the January Council Meeting and wrote in his notes council will table to the next meeting to allow the Solicitor to research language for a special exception. Mr. Ira stated he is confused by the Ordinance revisions. Will this open all C1 Commercial zones now and in the future, as written, to personal care homes and will this open all C1 Commercial zones to dependent and delinquent child residential services. Mr. Ira referenced the FamilyLinks website and noted it clearly states employees will work with clients with complex needs including alcohol and drugs. Mr. Ira feels there is a lack of transparency, the communication is not clear, and the proposed language does not protect the community. Mr. Ira also noted there are 2 buildings on the property and FamilyLinks has not made it clear what they intend to do with the other building.

Jeri Lewis of Harding Street, Manor, stated she supports FamilyLinks coming into the Borough. She further cited Council Meeting Minutes from April 11, 2017 when Ms. Lynn asked Mr. Howley about the rezoning in 2009 and the reason why the nursing home was placed in a C1 Commercial zone. Mr. Howley responded there were no other categories for it. The nursing home was always in a C1 Commercial zoned area, and there was no change. Regarding Manor Park improvements, Ms. Lewis referred to the previous meeting, she wanted to know if there are plans for an ADA compliant restroom. She cited Council Meeting minutes from August 17, 2016 stating a CDBG Grant for 2017 was applied for an ADA-compliant restroom October 19, 2016. The grant was denied due to the location being in a flood zone. She then noted from 2019 meeting minutes where Mr. Lapia suggested to Council the walking track and the restroom in the park should be replaced. She then went on to mention the

forming of a Capital Planning Committee who came up with several items, including possible relocation of the Police Department to the Borough Administration Building, taking away part of the Community Room, due to a decrease in rentals, giving more space for Public Works, and improving the parks. She noted the park was closed for most of 2019 due to flooding and then went on to note, reading from meeting minutes, how Council discussed the possibility of getting grants for a new playground or paying for it with Borough funds. Ms. Lewis insists a new ADA restroom must be installed in Manor Park. She also pointed out the covered walking bridge into the park needs replaced as several wood rails and wood decking boards are terrible. She demanded a change be made, and it needs to be made now, to make the park and restroom more ADA friendly.

Susan Forney of 500 Stone Bridge Court, Manor, suggested asking for a Special Exception to allow FamilyLinks to move in, then enforce tight restrictions. She commented what Council was reviewing was a permitted use. Ms. Forney asked Council if they are voting tonight, to not vote as the amendment is written, and to create a Special Exception that will meet everyone's needs.

Brian Cavanaugh, Attorney for the Wrights, regarding the Rowe Road property, noted issues and a number of changes to the use of the facility. He stated they were asked to comment and provided a use that was set forth in Pennsylvania Code to be used in the Ordinance that regulates personal care homes verbatim. He pointed out that definition of a personal care home is over 30 years old. Mr. Cavanaugh provided a copy of the Occupancy Report from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to be entered into the minutes dated February 2, 1986. A certification of compliance dated March 15, 2020 from the Pennsylvania Department of Human Resources stated it is certified to be a personal care home while it was in a C1-Commercial Zone. Mr. Cavanaugh is asking to have the problem corrected that was not caused by his client. That is, to have the definition of a personal care home added to C-1 zoning district of the Ordinance. Mr. Cavanaugh is asking a state deposition be incorporated into the meeting minutes, and submitted 3 documents to be part of the meeting minutes. After discussion, Ms. Lynn asked the Solicitor regarding a restrictive definition of a personal care home. Mr. Greiner advised care needs to be given not to discriminate in its description and agreed that Mr. Cavanaugh's proposed description of a personal care home is acceptable. Mr. Charrie stated he did not agree to the description and feels that FamilyLinks could change the use of the facility from the initial intended. Mr. Ira spoke up and reminded those present there is no longer a valid Occupancy Permit for a personal care home as it has expired due to the facility closing.

7. **MAYOR'S REPORT** (Mr. Woy) – Civil Service needs to meet to reorganize and to schedule a testing date.
8. **SOLICITOR'S REPORT** (Mr. Greiner) – Mr. Greiner indicated he would cover items as they apply to the agenda items.
9. **ENGINEER'S REPORT** (Mr. Antonacci) – Mr. Antonacci inspected streets in the Borough for paving candidate. He noted People's Natural Gas will be installing a new gas line on Mt. Pleasant Blvd from Sandy Hill Road to Lora Drive and have agreed to

pay for half of the paving for the lane they dig up. He feels this would be a good candidate to do a full mill and pave. He also looked at Fairview Drive from Sandy Hill Road to Longview Drive, Longview Drive from Fairview Drive to the cul-de-sac and Orchard Drive from Longview Drive to the cul-de-sac. Final recommendations will be given next month.

10. ZONING OFFICER'S REPORT (Mr. Krainbucher) – None.

11. BOROUGH MANAGER'S REPORT (Mr. Lapia) – Mr. Lapia noted the cell tower is slated to be installed and operational by the end of March. He received correspondence from PennDOT regarding Borough property near the bridge replacement on Brush Creek Road, and where the new right of way will be.

12. TREASURER'S REPORT - A motion "to approve the Treasurer's Report for the month of January 2022, as prepared" was made by Mr. Nemitz. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gongaware. The motion carried unanimously.

13. TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT - A motion "to approve the Tax Collector's Report for the month of January 2022, as prepared" was made by Mr. Gongaware and seconded by Ms. Lynn. The motion carried unanimously.

14. COMMITTEE REPORTS

- a. **Building & Properties** – Ms. Lynn reported they are looking at a place for storage for Borough records and no solution can be found at this time, but they continue to look at options. Mr. Gongaware asked if the Borough looked into the Landbank property on South Railroad Street. Mr. Charrie spoke up regarding the current Borough building and plans that indicated a vestibule on either side would balance the train sounds. He stated he would be happy to pull out the old plans he has to see what would be economically feasible.
- b. **Community Betterment & Environment** – None.
- c. **Finance** - None.
- d. **Personnel & Administration** – None.
- e. **Planning & Zoning** – Ms. Lynn noted the Planning Commission will meet Monday February 21, 2022 in the Manor Community room.
- f. **Public Safety & Maintenance** – Mr. Nemitz presented the VFD audit and Resolution 2021-03, pointing out item #4 states the audit procedure. He handed a report to Council of possible exceptions and asked for Council's direction. He wanted to know what could be paid with the money given to the VFD from the Borough. In question were expenses for a CPA audit expense, website, security system, backflow test, boiler test certificate and utility bills totaling \$14,849.38. Mr. Gockel sought the advice from Mr. Woy. Mr. Woy confirmed that maintenance and utility bills were always considered an acceptable expense. Mr. Nemitz states the Resolution does not state utilities specifically. Mr. Gockel noted the items Mr. Nemitz is questioning is maintenance related. Mr. Charrie questioned the reason for the resolution. Mr. Lapia explained it replaced a prior procedure by the Manor VFD to have an annual audit by a CPA by April 1 to receive their annual funding. Under Act 8 of 2008, the VFD has to provide a list of their expenses to the municipality. To relieve the Manor VFD from the expense of an annual audit, they opened a separate bank account to deposit Borough funding, expense items accordingly, and provide a quarterly report to Council.

Mr. Charrie motioned to have the Solicitor draft an amendment to Resolution 2021-03, to include legally payable of Manor Borough funds by the fire department of utilities. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried by majority. Mr. Gongaware voted "No."

Mr. Nemitz also noted public works employees are not permitted to use their personal mobile phones while salting and plowing the roads, except under very strict conditions. He claims it is in violation of the CDL DOT regulation and recommends changing policy so the Borough or the employees are not fined. Mr. Lapia noted the Borough has only one CDL truck and 2 employees that can drive it. Additionally, Public Works employees engaged in salting and plowing with emergency lights activated are exempt from the rules of the road under the vehicle code in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

15. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Request from Mark & Valerie Bradley to extinguish the Borough easement on Parcel ID 19-03-11-0-023. Mr. Lapia gave a brief history of a walking bridge to the park that was on that parcel and due to disrepair, was removed many years ago. The previous Solicitor did a title search that revealed nothing. Mr. Lapia noted there is a storm sewer line on that parcel the Borough needs to maintain. Mr. Charrie noted it makes no sense to extinguish the easement. After discussion, Ms. Lynn motioned not to extinguish the easement. Seconded by Ms. Marchand, the motion carried by majority. Mr. Gongaware voted "No".
- b. Ordinance Number 593-22-01 amending Manor Borough Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Greiner commented, after the Public Hearing and Council Meeting in February, he drafted a proposed ordinance and wants to address comments made earlier in the meeting to clarify. The ordinance has 2 parts. The first part is to address the situation where the property has been used as personal care home, even though the Zoning Ordinance never allowed it in a C-1 Commercial area, despite the care home operated there over 35 years. The Ordinance creates a Permitted Use in a C-1 Zoning District for a personal care home and it provides a definition for a personal care home. The need for a definition is to avoid any type of issue, what a personal care home is and what it isn't. Mr. Greiner found Mr. Cavanaugh's definition acceptable and he will revise the draft. The second part is to address the particular use contemplated by the property buyer, which is a Special Exception. The Zoning Hearing Board would need to approve the Special Exception before an Occupancy Permit can be issued. Council has to enact the language in the Zoning Ordinance to create the Special Exception, which is created and defined with language, and not the title of personal care home which courts will decide if it is operating within Zoning Compliance. Council received alternative language suggestions from involved parties for the Ordinance and as the Solicitor received the suggestions, he forwarded them to the Borough Manager and all members of Council for review. One copy was drafted and comments were shared, prior to the meeting, so that Council might make an informed decision. Mr. Greiner stated he was prepared to hear more from the prospective buyer and then proceed as Council wishes. This meeting is to not adopt the Ordinance but to obtain more information regarding language the buyer is requesting and getting council's direction on preparing the Ordinance. The Ordinance will be completed, advertised and ready for a Public Hearing in April and ready to have it enacted by the next month's Council Meeting. Regarding the definition of a personal care home, Mr. Greiner stated

his concern is that it not be discriminatory, and Mr. Cavanaugh's definition does meet the requirement. Council briefly discussed wording in the Ordinance that will be kept and removed.

Josh Farber, Counsel for FamilyLinks, referenced the Special Exceptions, the one Mr. Cavanaugh defined and the one being suggested by FamilyLinks. The current program description has not changed. FamilyLinks needs to know they can effectively use the facility for many years before the property is purchased, hence why the resident population was increased to 30 from the initial 12 proposed. Mr. Farber stated they cannot restrict by gender, but stated the people treated would most likely be female. He can't guarantee it would be a 100% female population. They can agree that it will never be a detention center or a drug treatment facility, which was excluded from the definition. Ms. Lynn questioned the increase in facility population from the original statement of 10-12 residents.

Stacey Vacaro of FamilyLinks was present and stated the current contract is for 10-12 beds. In the interim they have been approached by Westmoreland County to serve youth transitioning from care from CYS custody. FamilyLinks wants the flexibility to go beyond 20 residents. Ms. Lynn commented at the January and February meetings Council was told the facility would be for children who were sexually abused, potentially sexually abused and/or sexually exploited. Ms. Vacaro stated they are under contract to provide such counseling services. There was a negative outburst from audience members.

Mr. Farber noted he's never seen an acceptable use definition to include sex trafficking, but that does not preclude them from treating other children for other reasons. FamilyLinks does not want a limited window for the property. Mr. Vacha asked how many beds or rooms are in the facility. Ms. Vacaro stated they are licensed for 49, and there are 37 rooms on 3 floors. It is not one person per room. This is a national model for the exploitation of children, specifically sex trafficking and there is a lot of variation to that definition.

Mr. Charrie commented the confusion is in the changes. Population of the proposed facility is now 30 and definitions are changing. He feels Council has been taken out of the process and all of the changes are being funneled through the Solicitor and Borough Manager to construct a Special Exception. He asked how is Council supposed to move forward. Ms. Vacaro stated they were initially in contact with the Building/Zoning inspector. She stated in December she asked what the process would be to change Zoning to be allowed to operate. Mr. Charrie asserted they were denied when they initially spoke to the building and zoning inspector. Mr. Krainbucher stated FamilyLinks never turned in paperwork. He was contacted by FamilyLinks in the summer of 2021 and asked if there was a permitted use for the building for a youth group home for sexually abused children. Mr. Krainbucher said it was not in the Zoning for a permitted use, not even a personal care home. He wasn't sure what avenue FamilyLinks wanted to go so he advised their attorney to contact the Borough Solicitor. Because there was an issue getting in touch with the Borough Solicitor, Mr. Krainbucher suggested reaching out to Mr. Gary Falatovich, the Zoning Hearing Board Solicitor. Mr. Falatovich advised them they would have to do an amendment to the Borough's Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Charrie, attempting to explain the process, suggested they should have appealed to the Zoning

Hearing Board and the Zoning Hearing Board would allow or deny and apply the Special Exception with as many restrictions as necessary. Mr. Charried voice frustration. He then asked how FamilyLinks want the Borough to help them to get them to where they want to be. Mr. Charrie then cited the Municipal Planning Code and suggested looking at a Conditional Use, that will get everyone to where they want to be. It lists restrictive items indicating FamilyLinks will be held responsible for and what they can and can't do.

Mr. Nemitz had concerns about the parents knowing where the children would be and the type of security for the facility. From what he has heard so far, he doesn't feel security is adequate and feels they need to be in communication with the Police Chief who would confirm adequate security is in place. Ms. Vacaro is agreeable.

Ms. Lynn had some concerns from the previous meetings. Ms. Vacaro stated initially, they had 1 client for the program. Since then, it has changed. They have the capacity up to 50 beds and program and client requirements can change. They are looking for long term residency. Mr. Charrie agreed a good business looks at the long term and expansion. He also wanted to know how they are going to use the barn on the property. Ms. Vacaro stated it would probably be razed, torn down.

Mr. Nemitz asked if there will be 30 residents, how many staff will be there and their education requirements. Ms. Vacaro stated the staffing plan has yet to be developed. Some staff will be more qualified than others. All staff members have to go through 30 hours of state mandated training. Mr. Nemitz and Charrie asked about staffing up to 30, assuming there would be a minimum of 6 staff members at all times. The question was not directly answered.

Ms. Vacaro stated she would have come to Council meetings sooner to answer questions if she had been instructed to do so and cited a lack of direction. Mr. Charrie had questions about their business model that were not being answered.

Mr. Farber went back to the reason why they are here today. They first reached out to Zoning and told Zoning what they do and they were told it was not permitted. FamilyLinks did not want to submit an application and be denied and then dispute the reasons for the denial. He felt a Zoning Amendment will get them to where they want to be. The goal has always been to be collaborative and cooperative. A limited window won't work for them as they are non-profit. Mr. Charrie is not in favor of proceeding until FamilyLinks fully discloses their business model.

Mr. Greiner listed options for Council. One option is for Council to tell him what they want in the Permitted Use for the exiting C-1 Zone for a personal care home using one of the definitions provided. The second option is Council taking further action on a Special Exception for the type of use contemplated by FamilyLinks. If so, Council needs to agree on an acceptable definition, the number of individuals allowed in the facility, the population of sexually abused and sexually exploited individuals is under the age of 21, and children that are an adjudicated dependent. The third option is to take no further action and leave

it in the hands of the seller and FamilyLinks to offer a Curative Amendment to the Borough with their language.

After considerably more discussion, Ms. Lynn motioned to accept the definition of a personal care home provided by Mr. Cavanaugh, as a permitted use, and have the Solicitor prepare an Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Charrie, the motion carried unanimously. The roll call vote is as follows:

Mr. Gockel - Yes	Mr. Gongaware – Yes	Mr. Vacha – Yes
Ms. Lynn – Yes	Ms. Marchand – Yes	
Mr. Charrie – Yes	Mr. Nemitz - Yes	

Mr. Charrie motioned to table discussion on the Special Exception. The Solicitor is to look at a conditional use, a 10-day window for all interested parties to submit to the Solicitor anything they request regarding the FamilyLinks proposed use in the conditional use by noon February 28, 2022. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried by majority. The roll call vote is as follows:

Mr. Gockel - Yes	Mr. Gongaware – Yes	Mr. Vacha – Yes
Ms. Lynn – Yes	Ms. Marchand – No	
Mr. Charrie – Yes	Mr. Nemitz - Yes	

Council discussed when to have the next Public Hearing.

- c. Future Manor Park improvements. Mr. Lapia updated Council regarding submitting for a DCNR grant for a sport court, to replace the basketball court, and a new pavilion. The application needs to be submitted by April 6, that will be awarded in November with construction the following year. Several Council members stated a restroom should be installed in the park. A previous grant for an ADA restroom was not granted due to the restroom being in a flood plain. Ms. Lynn motioned for the Borough Manager and Borough Engineer to submit for a DCNR Grant for a new park pavilion and a sports court. Seconded by Mr. Nemitz the motion carried unanimously.

16. NEW BUSINESS

- a. Resolution 2022-09 appointing Bonnie Dawson to the Planning Commission. Mr. Gongaware motioned to appoint Bonnie Dawson to the Planning Commission. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried unanimously. The roll call vote is as follows:

Mr. Gockel - Yes	Mr. Gongaware – Yes	Mr. Vacha – Yes
Ms. Lynn – Yes	Ms. Marchand – Yes	
Mr. Charrie – Yes	Mr. Nemitz - Yes	

- b. Resolution 2022-10 appointing Mark Cypher as the Borough Sewage Enforcement Officer. Mr. Gongaware motioned to appoint Mark Cypher as the Borough Sewage Enforcement Officer (SEO). Ms. Lynn seconded the motion. Mr. Charrie asked if there is an immediate need for an SEO and if there were applications. Mr, Lapia noted there is no immediate need but in the past year, it was discovered the previous SEO retired. Mr. Charrie went on to analyze the fee schedule and has concerns. Mr. Lapia pointed out the fee structure did not change. Mr. Gongaware rescinded his earlier motion. Ms. Lynn concurs. Mr. Gongaware motioned to table the Resolution to clarify the fees. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried unanimously.

- c. Hiring a part time police officer. Ms. Lynn motioned to hire Cole A. Stinebiser as part-time police officer, pending passing all required tests. Seconded by Mr. Nemitz, the motion carried unanimously.
- d. Approving a handicap parking space for 40 Blaine Avenue. Mr. Nemitz motioned to approve a handicap parking space in front of 40 Blaine Avenue. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried unanimously.
- e. Police communications. Mr. Woy asked to table the motion until next meeting so more information can be received. Ms. Lynn motioned to table the decision until the March Council meeting. Seconded by Mr. Nemitz, the motion carried unanimously.
- f. Appointing a Deputy Tax Collector. In the event Mr. Radakovich would be incapacitated, the Deputy Tax Collector would assume the duties. Mr. Radakovich recommended Ms. Carol Yancosky, Tax Collector for Mt. Pleasant, PA. Mr. Gongaware motioned to appoint Carol Yancosky as Deputy Tax Collector. Seconded by Ms. Lynn, the motion carried unanimously.

17. CORRESPONDENCE

- a. Ms. Lynn reported the Norwin Elks is having a brunch Sunday February 27, 2022 at 9:00 A.M to 12:00 P.M. The public is invited.

18. APPROVAL TO PAY BILLS - A motion “to approve payment of the bills as of February 16, 2022, as presented” was made by Mr. Charrie and seconded by Ms. Lynn. The motion carried by majority. Mr. Gongaware voted No.

19. EXECUTIVE SESSION – There was no executive session.

20. CITIZEN COMMENTS/PUBLIC COMMENTS (From Sign-Up Sheet)

Nancy Wallis of 200 Woodcrest Court, Manor, is not happy about the snow removal in her cul-de-sac. On January 23, during a large snowfall, all of the snow from the cul-de-sac was plowed up against her tree and mailbox. She called the Borough office and it was explained there was no good solution. The mailbox was placed offset from normal. On February 3rd through the 4th additional snow was piled up against the existing snow pile. February 7th a neighbor emailed the Borough about the catch basin as it was covered by ice and snow. After the rain, the snow and ice turned to concrete. On February 8th, 2 public works employees opened the catch basin. Her neighbor was outside when the employees were opening the catch basin and suggested Ms. Wallis move her mailbox 4 feet and to cut the tree. She does not agree with the solution. Last year after a large snow and she complained about the snow being piled up against her tree and the located of piled snow in the cul-de-sac. She is looking to Council for a solution. Mr. Charrie asked the Borough Engineer for documentation on maintenance of a cul-de-sac. Mr. Vacha lives on a cul-de-sac and doesn't like where the snow is piled, but he realizes the snow has to go somewhere. Mr. Gockel offered to look at the situation, He admitted plowing a cul-de-sac is a nightmare. Ms. Wallis thinks a smaller truck with a small plow is better. She fears not getting her mail, and her newspaper is tossed into the snow, which is dangerous for her to pick up.

Patty Eathorne of Observatory Street, Manor, said she's glad to see all of the Council members in attendance. She pointed out the importance of the Planning Commission so they could relieve Council from the burden of making Zoning changes.

Jeri Lewis of Harding Street, Manor, critiqued the previous meeting minutes regarding Executive Session. She asserts a specific reason has to be given for Executive Session. She also complains her words and meanings are being twisted.

21. MOTION TO ADJOURN - There being no other business to discuss, a motion "to adjourn the meeting" was made by Mr. Vacha and was seconded by Ms. Marchand. The motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 10:47 P.M.

Joseph N. Lapia
Borough Manager